

## VILLAGE DISTRICT CONSULTANT

DATE:	October 15, 2024			
APPLICANT:			3 Big Shop Lane	
		Te	errasole Restaurant	
MEETING LOCATI	ON:	Town Hall Annex Back Lower Level Conference Room		
VDC MEMBERS: (Place check next to th ☐M. Ascher ☑J. Kinnear	b those who attended) S. Benton XJ. Goldfluss XJ. Heyman			⊠J. Heyman ⊠S. Schrager
ALSO PRESENT:	G. Lounsbury XP. Maggi XS. Schrager			C C
APPLICATION:	Special Permit – Addition			☐Site Plan Approval ☐Sign ☐Other:
ACTION:		<ul> <li>□ VDC recommends design approval as noted</li> <li>□ VDC does not recommend design approval</li> <li>☑ Additional design studies/information requested</li> <li>□ Other:</li> </ul>		

#### COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The VDC recommends that the proposed pavilion structure for additional seating be freestanding, not attached to the existing historic structure, with an almost flat roof and located at the lower terrace. The maximum height of the structure should be 9'-0". A canopy of fabric could provide cover from the French doors.

We also recommend that the addition on the west have a gable roof, and the round window be removed. The fence to be wood, stained to match the building.

We look forward to a resubmission.

Vote	
For approval of recommendations: 5	Against approval of recommendations: 0

BY: \_\_\_\_\_ John Kinnear



## VILLAGE DISTRICT CONSULTANT

Qr TUD				
DATE:	October 15, 2024			
APPLICANT:	1 Bailey Avenue			
		reFabjack		
MEETING LOCAT	ION:	Town Hall Annex Back Lower Level Conference Room		
VDC MEMBERS: (Place check next to those who attended)				
M. Ascher	□s.ı	S. Benton IJ. Goldfluss IJ. Heyman		
🔀 J. Kinnear	□G.	Lounsbury	XP. Maggi	S. Schrager
ALSO PRESENT:		Ms. Onorato		
APPLICATION:	□sp	pecial Permit – <i>New Building</i> pecial Permit – <i>Addition</i> exterior Renovation		☐Site Plan Approval ⊠Sign – awning sign ☐Other:
ACTION:		☑VDC recommends design approval as noted ☑ VDC does not recommend design approval ☑Additional design studies/information requested ☑Other:		

### COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The VDC recommends approval as submitted.

Vote		
For approval of recommendations: 5	Against approval of recommendation	ations: 0

BY: \_\_\_\_\_ John Kinnear



## <sup>7</sup> ARCHITECTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DATE:		October 15, 2024			
APPLICANT:	CANT: 43 Danbury Road				
			20 Unit Multi-family		
MEETING LOCATIO	••••	Town Hall Ar Back Lower I	nnex Level Conference Ro	oom	
	S. Be		⊠J. Goldfluss ⊠P. Maggi Peter Olson	⊠J. Heyman <mark>(Recused)</mark> ⊠S. Schrager	
APPLICATION:	XSp	ecial Permit -	- New Building	Site Plan Approval	
		Special Permit – Addition   Sign     Exterior Renovation   Other:			
ACTION:		AAC recommends design approval as noted AAC does not recommend design approval Additional design studies/information requested Other:			

#### COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

*Note: This is an affordable housing application and the first review by the AAC* 

#### Building

- 1) Please submit samples and spec sheets for windows and all exterior materials.
- Consider lowering the pitch of all the gables to 10" or 8". This will lower the impact of a 3-story building on this part of town; also, the structure exceeds town height regulations.

#### Site Plan/Landscaping

- 1) Relocate the transformer to a less prominent location, still allowing access by Eversource cranes. Landscape around the transformer to be Arborvitae or equal.
- 2) How is storm water handled on-site retention or public system? If so, is it approved?
- 3) Trees between the street and building should provide more screening.
- 4) Walkways between garage doors should not be planted with grass. A ground cover should be specified.
- 5) The jog in the site plan should have seating, a play area, charcoal grill, picnic tables.

We look forward to your next submittal.

Vote		
For approval of recommendations:	5 Against approval of recommendations: 0	

BY: John Kinnear



<sup>7</sup> ARCHITECTURAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

DATE:		October 15, 2024			
APPLICANT:		115 Danbury Road			
	Fuelco				
MEETING LOCATIO	ON: Town Hall Annex Back Lower Level Conference I			oom	
	S. Be	nton ounsbury	IJ. Goldfluss ☑P. Maggi applicant at the me	⊠J. Heyman ⊠S. Schrager eting)	
APPLICATION:		Special Permit – New BuildingsSite Plan ApprovalSpecial Permit – AdditionSignsExterior RenovationOther:			
ACTION:	XAA XAd	AAC recommends design approval as noted AAC does not recommend design approval Additional design studies/information requested Other:			

#### COMMENTS, EXCEPTIONS AND/OR RECOMMENDED CONDITIONS

The applicant did not appear at the meeting. The signage presented is already installed without a *permit*.

- 1) The AAC recommends all signage on the canopy be removed and the canopy fascia be white (many towns have this in their regulations canopies are not to be billboards, and colors are 'branding'.)
- 2) The property has an existing freestanding sign displaying prices. (see photo)
- 3) The number of signs and total square footage exceed our regulations.
- 4) See attached letter from Steve Zemo.
- 5) Applicant to verify parking for the retail use as per regulations.
- 6) The building sign to be mounted below the roof fascia.

Vote	
For approval of recommendations: 5	Against approval of recommendations: 0

BY: John Kinnear







#### RECEIVED

# Stephen J. Zemo

OCT 15 1024

PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION

100 Danbury Road Ste 104 Ridgefield, CT 06877 Phone: 203.431.0143 Fax: 203.431.4028

October 15, 2024

Memo

To: John Kinnear, Jr. Chairman, Architectural Advisory Commission, Town of Ridgefield

From: Stephen J. Zemo

Re: AAC-24-37 115 Danbury Road - Revision to Special Permit

As a neighboring property owner, I want to express my objections to the new signage at 115 Danbury Road.

- The amount of signage on the canopy makes it look like a billboard and clearly oversteps the Town regulations.
- No other gas station in town has signage on the canopy over the gas pumps.
- There were sufficient pricing signs both on the pumps and near the north entrance to 115 Danbury Road. None are needed on the canopy.
- The "Save Online" and "Fuelco.com" are unnecessary.
- The Shell Station at 130 Danbury Road has an application pending that meets the Town's requirements making it a better model for other gas/convenience store businesses.

Most importantly, I am concerned with the precedent of expanding business signage in this corridor. If this is allowed the Commission is encouraging others to follow suit. This is obviously a gas station/convenience business with gas pricing at the top of every pump. More is not necessary. Please deny all canopy signs. The sign over the building entrance is sufficient.

Please see attached photos of the current conditions and prior signage.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Stephen J. Zemo